PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

Translation INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant'	's or agent's file refere	ence									
2002P13580WO			FOR FURTHER ACTION		See Form PCT/IPEA/416						
International application No.			International filing da	rnational filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month							
PCT/EP2004/000754			28.01.200	4	05.02.2003						
Internation	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC										
G06T	11/00										
Applicant											
SIEMENS AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT											
This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.											
2.	This REPORT consist	ts of a total of	7	sheets, including	ng this cover sheet.						
3.	This report is also acc	companied by A	NNEXES, comprising:								
i			to the International Bu								
	(30,000				sheets, as follows:						
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).										
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental											
	Box.										
ĺ	b (sent to the	e International	Bureau only) a total of	(indicate type and number	er of electronic carrier(s))						
					containing a sequence listing and/or tables						
related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).											
4.	This report contains in	ndications relati	ing to the following iter	ns:							
	Box No. I	Basis of the	ereport								
	Box No. II	Priority									
	Box No. III	Non-estable	ishment of opinion with	regard to novelty, inven	tive step and industrial applicability						
	Box No. IV	Lack of uni	ity of invention								
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability, citations and explanations supporting such statement										
	Box No. VI Certain documents cited										
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application										
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application										
Date of submission of the demand				Date of completion of the	his report						
1				-							
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/				Authorized officer							
Facsimile No.				Telephone No.							

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/000754

Box	No. I		Basis of the report	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1.	With indic	regard	to the language, this report is based on the internation der this item.	al application in the language in	which it was filed, unless otherwise
		This re	port is based on translations from the original languag is the language of a translation furnished for the purpo	e into the following language eses of:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		님 i	nternational search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))		
			publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)		
			nternational preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/o		
2.	recei	i regard iving Of report):	to the elements of the international application, this reffice in response to an invitation under Article 14 are	report is based on (replacement s referred to in this report as "o	theets which have been furnished to the riginally filed" and are not annexed to
		the inte	ernational application as originally filed/furnished		
	\bowtie	the des	scription:		
		pages	1-15		as originally filed/furnished
		pages*		received by this Authority on	
1		pages*		received by this Authority on	
	\boxtimes	the cla	ims:		
		nos.			as originally filed/furnished
		nos.*			r with any statement) under Article 19
		nos.*	1-11		27 July 2004 with letter of 22 July 2004
		nos.*			
	\boxtimes	the dra	awings:	received by this Authority on	
		sheets			
			•		as originally filed/furnished
		sheets			
		sheets			
		a sequ	ence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Suppleme	ental Box Relating to Sequence L	isting.
3.	Ш	The ar	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
			the description, pages		
			the claims, nos.		
			the drawings, sheets/figs		
			the sequence listing (specify):		
4.		This r	eport has been established as if (some of) the amenda	ments annexed to this report and	listed below had not been made since
			the description, pages		
			the claims, nos.		
			the drawings, sheets/figs		
1			the sequence listing (specify):		
	If ite		olies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "supe		
	4) 116	+ upp	super	r seaea.	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/000754

Box No. V Reasoned statem citations and exp		statement under Ar ind explanations sup	ent under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; lanations supporting such statement		
1.	Statement				
	Novelty (N)	Claims	9	YES	
		Claims	1-8, 10, 11	NO	
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES	
		Claims	1-11	NO	
	Industrial applicabilit	ty (IA) Claims	1-11	YES	
		Claims		NO	

- 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)
 - This report makes reference to the following documents:
 - D1: Henrik Turbell: "Cone-Beam Reconstruction Using Filtered Backprojection", Linköping Studies in Science and Technology Dissertation No. 672, Linköping, February 2001

D2: US2003007593

D3: EP1096426

D4: US6483892

Clarity:

2. The present application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 6 because the category of claim 11 is unclear. On the one hand, a computer program product (i.e. an object) is mentioned, and on the other hand its running is claimed. The latter, however, is either a process or a program. The claim could have been clearly directed to a computer program product (without its running), for example, or to a program.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/000754

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Novelty:

- 3. The present application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claims 1-8, 10 and 11 is not novel (PCT Article 33(2)).
- 3.1 Re. independent claims 1 and 11: document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses are to that document):
 - "a process for generating images by computer tomography [...] including at least the following steps:
 - 1.1 in order to scan an object to be examined using a cone-shaped ray beam originating from a focal point and a planar, preferably multi-line detector for detecting the ray beam, the focal point is displaced along a spiral trajectory around the object to be examined [...] (page 77, lines 1-11), and
 - 1.2 [...] image voxels [...] are reconstructed from output data [...] (page 89, lines 8 ff.; page 105, lines 25-29),
 - 1.3 each image voxel being separately reconstructed from projection data which encompass a projection angle range of at least 180° (page 99, lines 30-33; page 103, lines 8-18; page 106, lines 4-12; page 107, lines 1-22; figure 4.17), and
 - 1.4 an approximate weighting is carried out for

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/000754

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

each viewed voxel in order to standardise the used projection data on the voxel (page 23, lines 2-5)."

This is the exact (shortened) text of independent process claim 1. The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the parallel independent claim 11.

3.2 Re. independent claim 10: document **D1** discloses (the references in parentheses are to that document):

"a CT appliance [...] having a ray beam originating from at least one focal point and a planar detector array [...], the at least one focal point being movable relative to an object to be examined along a focal trajectory around the object to be examined, with an opposite detector array (page 77, lines 1-11),

means being also provided at least for collecting detector data (page 77, lines 1-11), filtering (page 24, lines 1 ff.; page 89, lines 8 ff.; page 105, lines 25-29) and 3D backprojection (page 89, lines 8 ff.; page 105, lines 25-29), said means [...] being designed in such a way that they can carry out the process as per one of the claims 1-9 (see passages cited regarding claim 1 in point 3.1 above)."

3.3 Re. dependent claims 2-8: D1 also discloses the following additional features (the references in

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/000754

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

parentheses are to that document):

- claim 2: detector data used along a particular straight line (page 111, line 12 - page 112, line 8)
- claim 3: cosine weighting (page 89, lines 8 ff.;
 page 112, lines 3-8; figure 4.1)
- claim 4: interpolation (page 89, lines 6 ff.; page 102, lines 7-11; page 106, lines 4-12; page 107, lines 1-22)
- claim 5: data redundancies (page 103, lines 8-18)
- claim 6: generalised Parker weights (page 23, lines 5-21)
- claim 7: ramp filter with smoothing window (page 24, lines 1 ff.; page 89, lines 8 ff.; page 105, lines 25-29)
- claim 8: distance weighting (page 105, lines 12-21)
- 3.4 For the sake of completeness, it should be noted that document D3 also discloses all the technical features of claims 1, 3, 4 and 8, and that document D4 also discloses all the technical features of claims 10 and 11.

Inventive step:

4. The present application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claim 9 does not involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/000754

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Apart from a (not novel) process as per claims 1-8, claim 9 defines only the use of this process in computer cardiotomography, in which "measurement data are selected [...] according to the movement phases of a heart to be examined". With regard to claim 9, a person skilled in the art would face the problem of finding an application for the process as per claims 1-8. As an expert in the field of computer tomography, he would know document D2, which discloses the claimed solution in its abstract.

Further observations:

- 5. Contrary to PCT Rule 5.1(a)(ii), the description does not cite documents **D1** to **D4** or indicate the relevant prior art disclosed therein.
- 6. Contrary to PCT Rule 6.2(b), the features of the claims are not followed in all the claims by reference signs in parentheses, as required to make the claims more easily comprehensible. This applies to both the preamble and the characterising part.